**COMP3633 - Competitive Programming in Cybersecurity II Spring 2024**

**Presentation Grading Sheet**

**Presentation topic: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**  **Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Presentation date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**  **Evaluator student ID: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Main Criteria** | **Sub Criteria** | **Performance** | | | **Score**  **[out of 10]** |
| **Score (1-4)**  **Low (25th percentile or below)** | **Score (5-7)**  **Middle (around the 50th percentile, the students of our course are likely to be at least in this range)** | **Score (8-10)**  **High ( clearly above the 50th percentile)** |
| **Content**  **35%** | Information (covering new knowledge points, useful techniques, new observations, etc)  (30%) |  |  |  |  |
| Quality of sources  (5%) |  |  |  |  |
| **Analysis of the knowledge**  **30%** | Summarization of the content (10%) |  |  |  |  |
| Demonstration of the use of the knowledge (20%) |
| **Clarity of the presentation 15%** |  | Not very clear, I can understand less than 40% of the content | Clear, I can understand up to 70% of the content | Very clear, I can understand 80%-100% of the content |  |
| **Flow of the presentation**  **20%** |  | No clear organization. The  summary appears to have no direction, with subtopics appearing disjointed. | There is a basic flow from one section to the next, but not all sections are in a natural or logical order. | Good flow in the presentation. The summary goes from general ideas to specific conclusions. Transitions tie sections together. |  |

Further Comments: